| Minute rder Form (06/97) | | Unite |
|--------------------------|---|-------|
| | 1 | T |

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

| Nau | me of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge | | I. Shadur | Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| CA | SE NUMBER | 01 C | 9301 | DATE | 1/3/2 | 2002 | |
| | CASE Adrian Martinez vs. Lexus of Naperville, et al TITLE | | | | | | |
| [In the following box (a) of the motion being pres | | | | e motion, e.g., plaintiff, defe | endant, 3rd party plaintiff, and | I (b) state briefly the nature | |
| | | | | | | | |
| DOC | CKET ENTRY: | | | | | | |
| (1) | ☐ Filed | l motion of [use listin | g in "Motion" box ab | ove.] | | | |
| (2) | ☐ Brie | f in support of motion | due | | | | |
| (3) | □ Ansv | Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due | | | | | |
| (4) | □ Rulii | ng/Hearing on | set for at | , | | | |
| (5) | □ Statu | s hearing[held/continu | ued to] [set for/re-set | for] on set for | at | | |
| (6) | ☐ Pretr | Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at | | | | | |
| (7) | ☐ Trial | Trial[set for/re-set for] on at | | | | | |
| (8) | □ [Ben | [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at | | | | | |
| (9) | | This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] FRCP4(m) General Rule 21 FRCP41(a)(1) FRCP41(a)(2). | | | | | |
| [Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Order. For the reasons expressed in both of this Court's memorandum orders, both Martinez' Complaint and this action are therefore dismissed as untimely. And that dismissal moots Martinez' Application To Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and his Motion for Appointment of Counsel. | | | | | | | |
| (11) | ■ [For | further detail see orde | r attached to the origi | nal minute order.] | | | |
| | No notices required, | advised in open court. | | | | Document | |
| | No notices required. | | | | number of notices | Number | |
| ✓ | - | | | | JAN U 4 2002 | | |
| | Notified counsel by Docketing to mail no | - | | | date docketed | | |
| | Mail AO 450 form. | Arces. | | | docketine deputy initials | | |
| | Copy to judge/magis | strate judge. | TAUGO TOTAT | sid's'n | 1/3/2002 | | |
| | SN | courtroom deputy's | -3 EH 1:80 | MAL SO | date mailed rotice | | |
| | | initials | Date/time | received in erk's Office | mailing deputy initials | | |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

| ADRIAN MARTINEZ, |) | | | |
|------------------------------|---|-----|------|------|
| Plaintiff, |) | | | |
| v. |) | No. | 01 C | 9301 |
| LEXUS OF NAPERVILLE, et al., |) | | | |
| Defendants. |) | | | |

MEMORANDUM ORDER

This Court's December 6, 2001 memorandum order directed complaintiff Adrian Martinez ("Martinez") to explain the substantial disparity between the date of EEOC's right-to-sue letters and Martinez' claimed date of receipt of those letters—a disparity that raised an obvious question as to the possible untimeliness of Martinez' employment discrimination lawsuit against his former employers Lexus of Naperville and Dan Wolf Motors of Naperville, Inc. Because Martinez' December 13 "Additional Supplementary Filing" really confirmed the potential untimeliness problem rather than providing a satisfactory answer, this Court issued a further memorandum order on December 13 that granted Martinez some additional time (until December 27) to provide "any explanation that would justify keeping his lawsuit in court."

December 27 has now come and gone without anything further from Martinez. For the reasons expressed in both of this Court's memorandum orders, both Martinez' Complaint and this action are therefore dismissed as untimely. And that dismissal moots

Martinez' Application To Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and his Motion for Appointment of Counsel.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Date: January 3, 2002